# BEFORE THE STATE ATHLETIC COMMISSION DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

2

1

3

4 5

Dispute Between:

STEVEN FEDER

JOSE BENAVIDEZ

and

6

7. 8

9

10

11

10

1213

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

Case No.

DECISION AND ORDER OF THE ARBITRATOR

INTRODUCTION

Boxer.

Manager,

On February 5, 2019, the above-captioned matter came before the Executive Officer for the California State Athletic Commission (hereinafter "Commission"), Andrew Foster, duly appointed by the Commission to arbitrate the dispute between Jose Benavidez, Jr. (Boxer) and his manager Steven Feder and Co-Manager Bradley Gann (collectively "Manager"). This arbitration was convened at 2:00 p.m. via telephone at the Office of the Attorney General, 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800, San Diego, CA 92101, pursuant to written notice to all parties and mutual consent. (*See* Notice of Arbitration). Attorney David A. Garcia participated and appeared for Boxer via telephone. Manager appeared via telephone without counsel. All evidence submitted by either party was received without objection and incorporated into the record.

# **JURISDICTION**

Business and Professions Code section 18600, et seq. provides for the administration of the Commission as the regulator of Boxing and protector of the public.

Code section 18613 provides, in pertinent part:

In the Matter of the Arbitration of the Contract

(a) (1) The commission shall appoint a person exempt from civil service who shall be designated as an executive officer and who shall exercise the powers and perform the duties delegated by the commission and vested in him or her by

28 || ///

this chapter. The appointment of the executive officer is subject to the approval of the Director of Consumer Affairs.

Code section 18855 provides:

The commission shall recognize and enforce contracts between boxers or martial arts fighters and managers and between boxers or martial arts fighters and licensed clubs. Contracts shall be executed on printed forms approved by the commission. The commission may recognize or enforce a contract not on its printed form if entered into in another jurisdiction. No other contract or agreement may be recognized or enforced by the commission. All disputes between the parties to the contract, including the validity of the contract, shall be arbitrated by the commission pursuant to the provisions of the contract. Subject to Section 227 of Title 4 of the California Code of Regulations, a person who seeks arbitration of a contract shall send a written request to the commission's headquarters and to the office of the Attorney General. The commission may seek cost recovery related to arbitration proceedings from the parties subject to the proceedings.

The Commission may license boxers, managers, and promoters. (See Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 18640 [license required to promote or participate in boxing contest or match], 18642 [license required for boxer and manager].)

Under Business and Professions Code section 18628, a manager may be a person who: (a) has an agreement or arrangement to represent in any way a boxer's interests in procuring, arranging or conducting a contest; (b) directs or controls a boxer's boxing activities; (c) receives or is entitled to receive more than 10% of a boxer's gross purse from a contest; or (d) is an officer, director, shareholder or member of an organization that receives or is entitled to receive more than 10% of a boxer's gross purse from a contest.

# THE CONTRACT

In furtherance of his profession, Boxer entered into a written Boxer-Manager Contract with Manager on or about March 7, 2013. Boxer and Manager had a previous arbitration on March 7, 2018, but came to an agreement without the need of intervention with a final decision from the Arbitrator (the Settlement). The relevant portions of that settlement provide that "Boxer will pay his Silent Manager the agreed upon fees of 16 2/3% for the next three bouts, which includes purses and any monies received from Top Rank Promotions, regardless of when the fights occur, it does not include rooms, per diem, flights or any noncash payment paid by Top Rank to the Boxer for his fight appearances."

### 1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

## THE DISPUTE

Boxer entered into an agreement on August 3, 2018 with Top Rank Inc. regarding a boxing contest with Terence Crawford to be held on October 13, 2018 (Bout Agreement). In the Bout Agreement, Compensation was stated of a purse of \$350,000 which is not in dispute. The Bout Agreement also provided for "\$75,000.00 non-recoupable training expense payment" and another \$75,000,00 in exchange for an extension of the previous promotional rights agreement (a "signing bonus"), for a total of \$150,000.00 in addition to the named purse. Boxer agrees that the \$350,000.00 was an earned purse and subject to the 16 2/3% fee to Manager, which he paid by a check for \$58,333.00 to manager. Boxer disputes that the additional \$150,000.00 is subject to the terms of the settlement agreement with Manager and claims the \$150,000.00 are "noncash payments" as provided in the Settlement. Boxer claims that he had already spent a portion or all of the training allowance before he received the \$75,000.00, and therefore it was not payment received, but reimbursement for expenses already paid and not subject to the Settlement. Manager claims that the additional \$150,000.00 is also subject to the agreement, and therefore an additional \$25,000.00 is owed from Boxer to Manager (16 2/3% of \$150,000.00).

# **DISCUSSION**

The Settlement is very clear. "Any monies" means exactly that: all monies paid by Top Rank Inc. to Boxer were subject to the Settlement. The noncash payments mentioned were for incidental expenses akin to a few nights in a hotel room, or flights, meals, or other miscellaneous travel expenses. Boxer asserts that the \$150,000.00 in compensation for training or a signing bonus from Top Rank Inc. should not be part of "any monies."

Boxer claims that he spent more than \$75,000.00 on medical bills before signing the Bout Agreement, which is why the training expenses are included in the contract, and therefore the \$75,000.00 should be outside the Settlement. Though the Bout Agreement makes no such claim about medical bills, even if it did, this difference would be irrelevant. There is no exemption for medical bills in either the Settlement Agreement or the Bout Agreement. Boxer gives no plausible explanation for why the second \$75,000.00 for the signing bonus should be exempt from the Settlement. Top Rank Inc. paid \$500,000.00 to Boxer for the Bout that is covered by the

1	Settlement. It makes no difference that monies were earmarked for a particular purpose, the
2	Settlement clearly states that not only purses but "any monies" are included. Boxer asks the
3	Commission to find that the \$150,000.00 is not included in the plain meaning of "any monies."
4	The Commission finds that the plain meaning of "any monies" includes the \$150,000.00 received
5	for training purposes and the signing bonus for a total of \$500,000.00. Therefore, the Commission
6	finds that Boxer owes Manager his commission on the \$500,000.00 Boxer was paid for the bout
7	in question. Since both parties have satisfied their obligations on the first \$350,000.00, the
8	Commission orders that the Boxer pay 16 2/3% of the outstanding \$150,000.00, or \$25,000.00 to
9	his manager forthwith.
10	ORDER
11	WHEREFORE, THE FOLLOWING ORDER is made:
12	
13	Boxer shall pay Manager \$25,000.00 forthwith.
14	2121/2019
15	This decision shall become effective on
16	DATE:
17	Andy Foster, Executive Officer
18	Arbitrator California State Athletic Commission
19	Camorina State Mineral Commission
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	SD2019700069